Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/583,013	ADAM-WORRALL, JULIA
	Examiner	Art Unit
	EMILY BERNHARDT	1624
All Participants:	Status of Application:	<u> </u>
(1) <u>EMILY BERNHARDT</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Ms.Hess</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 20 November 2009	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER		P DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	5 DISCUSSED.
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Emily Bernhardt/		
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1624 (A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/583,013

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The foreign priority document is not present in the electronic file nor was it present by accessing the following web address: http://www.wipo.int/pctdb/en/. The examiner contacted WIPO via email and was informed that WIPO never received the EPO priority document and it was not requested pursuant to PCT Rule 17.1(b). Accordingly, priority of said document date cannot be granted unless applicants can supply a copy of said document in compliance with the PCT rules.